The Estate Planner

May/June 2014

Valuing LLC interests: How to lose in Tax Court

Should you keep your trust a secret?

Preservation effort
A "stretch IRA" can maximize your IRA's benefits

Estate Planning Red Flag
You hold joint title to property with a relative or friend

SHUMAKER®

Shumaker, Loop & Kendrick, LLP

Charlotte
First Citizens Bank Plaza
128 South Tryon Street
Suite 1800
Charlotte, North Carolina
28202-5013
704.375.0057

Columbus
Huntington Center
41 South High Street
Suite 2400
Columbus, Ohio
43215-6104
614.463.9441

Sarasota
240 South Pineapple Ave.
10th Floor
Sarasota, Florida
34236-6717
941.366.6660

Tampa
Bank of America Plaza
101 East Kennedy Blvd.
Suite 2800
Tampa, Florida
33602-5151
813.229.7600

Toledo
North Courthouse Square
1000 Jackson Street
Toledo, Ohio
43604-5573
419.241.9000

Distribution of The Estate Planner is limited to clients of Shumaker and estate planning professionals who request a subscription.

Valuing LLC interests: How to lose in Tax Court

A recent U.S. Tax Court case — *Estate of Tanenblatt* — offers an important lesson for executors and other personal representatives: When valuing assets for estate tax purposes, be sure you're satisfied with the valuator's methods and conclusions *before* you file an estate tax return. Offering new valuation theories in court can backfire.

LLC owned Manhattan real estate

The sole issue to be decided was the fair market value of the deceased's 16.667% interest in a New York limited liability company (LLC). The LLC's principal asset was a 10-story commercial building in Manhattan that contained retail and office space. The deceased's interest was held in a revocable trust.

The LLC was owned by three family groups, and its operating agreement restricted transfers outside those groups. A nonfamily member couldn't become a member of the LLC without the unanimous consent of all members. Without such consent, a nonfamily transferee would be entitled to share in the LLC's profits and losses but would have no right to participate in management.

The deceased died in 2007. Her personal representative timely filed a federal estate tax return, which valued the LLC interest at $1,788,000 based on a professional appraisal. To value the interest, the appraiser started with a real estate appraisal, which valued the building at $19,960,000 using an income capitalization approach.

Adding the LLC's cash and other current assets and subtracting its liabilities, the appraiser determined that the LLC's net asset value was $20,628,221 ($3,438,106 for the deceased's interest). The appraiser applied a 20% discount for lack of control and a 35% discount for lack of marketability to arrive at the interest's $1,788,000 value. (See "How LLCs and FLPs save taxes" on page 3.)

The IRS determined that the estate had underreported the value of the interest. Although it accepted the estate's calculation of net asset value, it allowed discounts of only 10% for lack of control and 20% for lack of marketability. On that basis, the IRS valued the interest at $2,475,882 and assessed an estate tax deficiency of $309,547.

Estate attacks its own valuation

In Tax Court, the estate offered a new appraisal, prepared by another professional appraiser, which valued the LLC interest at $1,037,796. Because this figure was lower than what was reported on the estate tax return, the estate sought a refund.

The estate challenged not only the IRS expert's valuation methodology, but also the methodology of its own original appraiser, on which it had previously relied. Based on the second appraisal, the estate argued that:

1. The interest should have been treated as an assignee interest, which is less valuable than a member interest, and

2. The LLC's value should have been based, at least in part, on its history of earnings and distributions, not just its net asset value.

As to the first argument, the court found that the deceased's trust held a member interest in the LLC, and that was the interest being valued. Any restrictions that would apply to a purchaser of that interest were reflected in the discount for lack of marketability.

The court acknowledged, however, that the second argument might have had some merit. The LLC was not just a real estate holding company; it also managed the building's rental activities as a going concern. As an operating company, it was appropriate to value the LLC, at least in part, using income-based valuation methods, which might have resulted in a lower value.

But there was a significant problem with this argument: The estate had no evidence to back it up. Because the second appraiser wasn't available to testify (apparently because of a fee dispute with the estate), and for other procedural reasons, the court refused to admit the second appraisal into evidence.

The court noted that values reported on an estate tax return may be considered admissions, "restricting an estate from substituting a lower value without cogent proof that those admissions are wrong." In this case, with no admissible expert testimony to the contrary, the estate failed to meet this burden.

Lessons learned

Tanenblatt serves as a cautionary tale for people planning their estates as well as for their personal representatives. In order for your asset values to hold up before the IRS or in court, be sure they're supported by qualified appraisals. And if you decide to change your valuation strategy, make sure you can back it up with the testimony of a qualified valuation expert. ✤

How LLCs and FLPs save taxes

Family limited liability companies (LLCs) and family limited partnerships (FLPs) can be powerful tools for transferring valuable assets to family members, usually at deeply discounted gift and estate tax values, without giving up control.

In a typical arrangement, parents establish an LLC or FLP, retaining all of the membership or partnership units. Next, they contribute assets to the entity, such as real estate, securities or business interests. Finally, they transfer (by gift or sale) LLC interests or minority limited partner interests to their children, either outright or in trust.

Structured properly, this technique allows the parents to retain management control over their assets while shifting ownership to the younger generation. And because the children's rights to sell their interests or to participate in management are strictly limited, the transferred interests are entitled to substantial valuation discounts — often as high as 40% or more — for gift tax purposes.

Keep in mind that, to ensure the desired tax result, the LLC or FLP must have a legitimate nontax business purpose, such as maintaining family control over a business, consolidating management of an investment portfolio or protecting family assets from creditors.

Should you keep your trust a secret?

When planning their estates, many affluent people agonize over the impact their wealth might have on their children. Bill Gates famously said, "I won't leave a lot of money to my heirs because I don't think it would be good for them."

Even parents of more modest means worry about how the prospect of a large inheritance might affect their kids. Is it a disincentive to staying in school, working or otherwise becoming productive members of society?

To address these concerns, some people establish "quiet trusts," also known as "silent trusts." In other words, they leave significant sums in trust for their children; they just don't tell them about it. An interesting approach, but is it effective?

A questionable strategy

Many states permit quiet trusts, but arguably the risks associated with them outweigh the potential benefits. For one thing, it's difficult — if not impossible — to keep your wealth a secret. If you live an affluent lifestyle, it's likely that your children expect to share the wealth some day, and using a quiet trust won't change that. Even if your children are unaware of the details of your estate plan, their expectations of a future inheritance can encourage the same irresponsible behavior the quiet trust was intended to avoid.

> *One good approach is to design a trust that provides* incentives *to behave responsibly — sometimes referred to as an "incentive trust."*

Another disadvantage is that a quiet trust may send the wrong message. Once your children discover the trust's existence, they may interpret your failure to disclose it as reflecting a lack of trust or a lack of faith in their ability to lead productive lives.

A quiet trust may also increase the risk of litigation. The trustee has a fiduciary duty to act in the beneficiaries' best interests. When your children become aware of the trust years or decades later, they'll likely seek an accounting from the trustee and, with the help of counsel, may challenge any past decisions of the trustee that they disagree with.

A better alternative

The idea behind a quiet trust is to avoid disincentives to responsible behavior. But it's not clear that such a trust will actually accomplish that goal. A better approach is to design a trust that provides

incentives to behave responsibly — sometimes referred to as an "incentive trust."

For example, the trust might condition distributions on behavior you wish to encourage, such as obtaining a college or graduate degree, maintaining gainful employment, pursuing worthy volunteer activities, or avoiding alcohol or substance abuse. One drawback to setting specific goals is that it may penalize a beneficiary who chooses an alternative, albeit responsible, lifestyle — a stay-at-home parent, for example. To build some flexibility into the trust, you might establish general principles for distributing trust funds to beneficiaries who behave responsibly, but give the trustee broad discretion to apply these principles on a case-by-case basis.

Keep quiet or provide incentive?

Perhaps the most important benefit of an incentive trust is that it provides an opportunity for you or the trustee to help shape the beneficiaries' future behavior. With a quiet trust, you keep your beneficiaries' inheritance a secret and hope that, without the negative influence of future wealth, they will behave responsibly. With an incentive trust, on the other hand, you provide positive reinforcement by communicating the terms of the trust, letting beneficiaries know what they must do to receive their rewards, and providing them with the help they need to succeed. ✤

Preservation effort

A "stretch IRA" can maximize your IRA's benefits

Estate and retirement planning go hand in hand. Therefore, you should consider how an estate planning strategy might affect your retirement plan (and vice versa). For example, did you know that, by structuring your IRA as a "stretch IRA," you can preserve its benefits for many years? Using this strategy can benefit both your estate and retirement plans. Let's take a closer look at the ins and outs of stretch IRAs.

Stretch IRA in action

Setting up a stretch IRA is simple: You designate a young person — a child or grandchild, for example — as the IRA's beneficiary. After you die, your beneficiary must begin taking annual required minimum distributions (RMDs), but distributions generally can be spread over his or her life expectancy. This minimizes the amount

that must be withdrawn each year and maximizes the IRA's growth potential.

For example, Debbie, a widower, dies at age 76 with a prior year end IRA balance of $1.2 million, and her grandson, Sam, is the designated beneficiary.

Before her death, Debbie hadn't taken her RMD for the year. Because, according to the applicable IRS table, the distribution period for someone age 76 is 22 years, her RMD for the year would have been $1.2 million divided by 22 — or $54,545. Sam, as beneficiary, will be required to take the distribution and pay tax on it.

> *One drawback of a stretch IRA is that nothing prevents your beneficiary from withdrawing more than the RMD, or even emptying the account, defeating the purpose of the strategy.*

Sam's future RMDs, however, will be significantly smaller: According to the applicable IRS table, for the first RMD based on his *own* age, his life expectancy is 63 years. His RMD will be determined by taking the balance in the account at the end of the year of Debbie's death and dividing that amount by 63.

For comparison, suppose the IRA balance is the same $1.2 million at that time. Sam's RMD for the year following Debbie's death will be $1.2 million divided by 63, or only $19,048. Although the divisor will go down each year, for many years to come the RMDs still will likely be much smaller than what Debbie's would have been, allowing the IRA to be stretched over a much longer period, continuing to grow tax-deferred.

Of course, Sam's RMD amount each year is included in his taxable income. But an added benefit of a stretch IRA is that a younger beneficiary may be in a lower tax bracket. If Sam is in the 15% marginal tax bracket, he'll owe only $2,857 of federal income taxes on the first RMD based on his own life expectancy. If Debbie were still alive, she'd not only have a larger RMD, but, presuming she was in the 35% tax bracket, she would owe significantly more tax.

Beware of a drawback

One drawback of this strategy is that nothing prevents your beneficiary from withdrawing more than the RMD, or even emptying the account, defeating the purpose of a stretch IRA. To avoid this result, you can designate a trust as beneficiary. One caveat, though, is that some custodians may not allow a trust as an IRA beneficiary. Be sure to verify that your custodian will respect your wishes, and be prepared to consider switching custodians if necessary.

Not all trusts qualify. You'll need to design the trust as a "see-through" trust, which means that the trust beneficiaries — all of whom must be individuals — are treated as the designated beneficiaries for purposes of calculating RMDs.

The easiest way to qualify is to set up the trust as a "conduit trust," which requires the trustee to pass all RMDs from the IRA to the trust beneficiaries.

Typically, trusts designate one or more "primary beneficiaries" (those who are first in line to receive the trust benefits) as well as "residual beneficiaries" (those who receive the benefits if a specified event occurs, such as the primary beneficiary's death).

Conduit trusts qualify as see-through trusts even if they have a nonindividual residual beneficiary, such as a charity. Also, RMDs are calculated based on the primary beneficiaries' life expectancies, even if the residual beneficiaries are older.

Is a stretch IRA right for you?

A stretch IRA is most beneficial if your IRA has a significant balance, but there are estate tax consequences to keep in mind — specifically estate and generation-skipping transfer taxes. As with other estate planning strategies, to be effective it's vital that the stretch IRA be designed properly. Your estate planning advisor can review your estate and retirement plans to determine if you can benefit from this strategy. ✤

Estate Planning Red Flag

You hold joint title to property with a relative or friend

Owning assets jointly with one or more of your children or other heirs is a common estate planning "shortcut." But like many shortcuts, it can produce unintended — and costly — consequences.

There are two potential advantages to joint ownership: convenience and probate avoidance. If you hold title to property with a child as joint tenants with "right of survivorship," when you die, the property is transferred to your child automatically. You don't need a trust or other estate planning vehicles and it's not necessary to go through probate.

Joint ownership offers simplicity, but it can also create a number of problems, especially if you add someone as a co-tenant instead of a joint tenant with right of survivorship, including:

Unnecessary taxes. Adding a child's name to the title may be considered an immediate taxable gift of one-half of the property's value. And when you die, the property's value then will be included in your taxable estate, although any gift tax paid with the original transfer would be allowed as an offset.

Creditor claims. Joint ownership exposes the property to claims by your co-owner's creditors or former spouses.

Loss of control. Your co-owner may be able to dispose of certain property without your consent or prevent you from selling or borrowing against certain property.

Unintended consequences. If your co-owner predeceases you, his or her share of the property may pass according to his or her estate plan or the laws of intestate succession. If you hold the property as co-tenants, instead of joint tenants with the right of survivorship, for instance, you'll generally have no say in the ultimate disposition of that portion of the property.

One or more properly drafted trusts can avoid each of these problems without the need for probate.

This publication is distributed with the understanding that the author, publisher and distributor are not rendering legal, accounting or other professional advice or opinions on specific facts or matters, and accordingly assume no liability whatsoever in connection with its use. ©2014 ESTmj14

EXPERIENCE. RESPONSIVENESS. VALUE.

At Shumaker, we understand that when selecting a law firm for estate planning and related services, most clients are looking for:

- A high level of quality, sophistication, and experience.
- A creative and imaginative approach that focuses on finding solutions, not problems.
- Accessible attorneys who give clients priority treatment and extraordinary service.
- Effectiveness at a fair price.

Since 1925, Shumaker has met the expectations of clients that require this level of service. Our firm offers a comprehensive package of quality, experience, value and responsiveness with an uncompromising commitment to servicing the legal needs of every client. That's been our tradition and remains our constant goal. This is what sets us apart.

Estate planning is a complex task that often involves related areas of law, as well as various types of financial services. Our clients frequently face complicated real estate, tax, corporate and pension planning issues that significantly impact their estate plans. So our attorneys work with accountants, financial planners and other advisors to develop and implement strategies that help achieve our clients' diverse goals.

Shumaker has extensive experience in estate planning and related areas, such as business succession, insurance, asset protection and charitable giving planning. The skills of our estate planners and their ability to draw upon the expertise of specialists in other departments — as well as other professionals — ensure that each of our clients has a comprehensive, effective estate plan tailored to his or her particular needs and wishes.

SHUMAKER®

Shumaker, Loop & Kendrick, LLP

We welcome the opportunity to discuss your situation and provide the services required to help you achieve your estate planning goals. Please call us today and let us know how we can be of assistance.

Charlotte
First Citizens Bank Plaza
128 South Tryon Street
Suite 1800
Charlotte, North Carolina
28202-5013
704.375.0057

Columbus
Huntington Center
41 South High Street
Suite 2400
Columbus, Ohio
43215-6104
614.463.9441

Sarasota
240 South Pineapple Ave.
10th Floor
Sarasota, Florida
34236-6717
941.366.6660

Tampa
Bank of America Plaza
101 East Kennedy Blvd.
Suite 2800
Tampa, Florida
33602-5151
813.229.7600

Toledo
North Courthouse Square
1000 Jackson Street
Toledo, Ohio
43604-5573
419.241.9000

www.slk-law.com

The Chair of the Trusts and Estates Department is responsible for the content of The Estate Planner. The material is intended for educational purposes only and is not legal advice. You should consult with an attorney for advice concerning your particular situation. While the material in The Estate Planner is based on information believed to be reliable, no warranty is given as to its accuracy or completeness. Concepts are current as of the publication date and are subject to change without notice.

IRS Circular 230 Notice: We are required to advise you no person or entity may use any tax advice in this communication or any attachment to (i) avoid any penalty under federal tax law or (ii) promote, market or recommend any purchase, investment or other action.